## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/697,253 EBERT, PETER S. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Ryan R. Yang 2628 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Ryan R. Yang. (4) (2) David Jordan. Date of Interview: 01 February 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_ Claim(s) discussed proposed new claims. Identification of prior art discussed: Brooks et al; Ito; Fushimi et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) ✓ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Applicant discussed some of the features in the proposed new claims. The important features that could distinguish the prior art are highlighting a portron of the graph and forming the circle as claimed. Examiner agrees to consider these limitation and do further search if

RYAN YANG PRIMARY EXAM!

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

needed.